

(16)

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge	James B. Moran	Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge	
CASE NUMBER	01 C 2499	DATE	2/14/2002
CASE TITLE	Justin Haddix vs. Yetter Manufacturing etc.et al.		

[In the following box (a) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature of the motion being presented.]

MOTION:
Memorandum Opinion and Order
DOCKET ENTRY:

- (1) ☐ Filed motion of [use listing in "Motion" box above.]
- (2) ☐ Brief in support of motion due ____.
- (3) ☐ Answer brief to motion due _____. Reply to answer brief due ____.
- (4) ☐ Ruling/Hearing on _____ set for _____ at _____.
- (5) ☐ Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on _____ set for _____ at _____.
- (6) ☐ Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on _____ set for _____ at _____.
- (7) ☐ Trial[set for/re-set for] on _____ at _____.
- (8) ☐ [Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to _____ at _____.
- (9) ☐ This case is dismissed [with/without] prejudice and without costs[by/agreement/pursuant to]
☐ FRCP4(m) ☐ General Rule 21 ☐ FRCP41(a)(1) ☐ FRCP41(a)(2).
- (10) ☒ [Other docket entry] Enter Memorandum Opinion and Order. Defendant Yetter Manufacturing Co. seeks a good faith finding with respect to its settlement with plaintiff and dismissal of all claims against it. That motion is granted.
- (11) ☒ [For further detail see order attached to the original minute order.]

<input type="checkbox"/> No notices required, advised in open court. <input type="checkbox"/> No notices required. <input type="checkbox"/> Notices mailed by judge's staff. <input type="checkbox"/> Notified counsel by telephone. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Docketing to mail notices. <input type="checkbox"/> Mail AO 450 form. <input type="checkbox"/> Copy to judge/magistrate judge.	U.S. DISTRICT COURT CLERK 02 FEB 15 AM 10:34 Date/time received in central Clerk's Office	number of notices <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">2/19/02</div> date docketed <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">MK</div> docketing deputy initials date mailed notice mailing deputy initials	Document Number <div style="font-size: 2em; font-family: cursive;">42</div>
WAH	courtroom deputy's initials		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

JUSTIN HADDIX,

Plaintiff,

vs.

YETTER MANUFACTURING
COMPANY, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 01 C 2499

DOCKETED
FEB 14 2007

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Defendant Yetter Manufacturing Co. (Yetter) seeks a good faith finding with respect to its settlement with plaintiff and dismissal of all claims against it. That motion is granted.

The settlement has a present value of \$1,400,000. The plaintiff and Yetter represent that Yetter does not maintain a financial interest in the litigation, and we, having reviewed the proposed settlement agreement, agree. That agreement is an unconditional promise to pay, through a structured settlement, amounts having a present value of \$1,400,000. There is nothing to suggest that the settlement is unreasonable, much less that it is the result of any fraud or collusion.

Accordingly, it is ordered that


1. this court finds that the settlement agreement between Yetter and plaintiff Justin Haddix was made in good faith in accordance with 750 ILCS 200/0.0L *et seq.*;
2. all claims pending against Yetter in plaintiff's complaint are hereby dismissed with prejudice, and without costs;
3. all claims sounding in contribution currently pending against Yetter by any party to the above-referenced litigation are hereby dismissed with prejudice, and without costs; and

412

4. all claims sounding in contribution by any party against Yetter with respect to the subject matter set forth in plaintiff's complaint be and hereby are forever barred.

There being no just reason to delay enforcement of, or appeal from, this order of dismissal and good faith finding, the motion of defendant Yetter Manufacturing Company is granted.

Feb. 14, 2002.


JAMES B. MORAN
Senior Judge, U. S. District Court